Some Notes on Lachenal Concertina Production and Serial Numbers

STEPHEN CHAMBERS

Concertinas of a new—and revolutionary—‘mass produced’ model, manufactured for C. Wheatstone & Co. by Louis Lachenal, started to be sold in, or shortly after, April 1848. The first instruments have numbers in the 1500s series, the earliest example that I know of being number 1563 in my own collection (see Fig. 1). Unfortunately the Wheatstone ‘Red Book’ ledger for the period 6th April 1848–31st December 1850 is missing, so I cannot be more specific about the date, though the highest serial number in the previous ledger is 1495, sold on 14th November 1847, and the last recorded sale is that of 1126, a second-hand instrument, to George Case, on 5th April 1848.

During the years 1853–1858, Lachenal occupied Alpha and Omega Cottages, British School Lane, Chiswick, as a ‘House’ and ‘Manufactory’ respectively (see Fig. 2). The Chiswick Rate Books show both to have been owned by ‘Messrs. Wheatstone & Co.’, and it is interesting to note that they were only about a quarter of an hour’s walk from Charles Wheatstone’s house, in Lower Mall, Hammersmith, suggesting that he was probably taking an active interest in the venture.
Lachenal seems to have been manufacturing for Wheatstone's on a contract basis, and would have owned the machinery and made the tooling himself. My guess, then, is that the contract probably ran until the beginning of August 1858, when Charles Wheatstone's Patent, No. 10,041 of 8th August 1844 (for a term of fourteen years), would have expired. This would appear to be confirmed by John Crabb having sold the lease of his own house, only a few doors away from the manufactory, on 2nd August 1858. By this time Wheatstone's serial numbers had reached as high as the 10600s series, though the ledgers are in date-of-sale order, and the numbering is extremely erratic.

Louis Lachenal then set up his own business at 8, Little James Street, Bedford Row, London, W.C., though I believe his firm continued to manufacture for Wheatstone's until the 13700s series in late 1865/early 1866. This series overlapped with that numbered in the 18000s, which would seem to mark the beginning of Edward Chidley's production for Wheatstone's, the first sale being 18000 on 28th April 1865 (so there is a gap in the sequence of more than 4,000 numbers between the two series).

As noted above (see note 16), the first notice in *MDRA* for Louis Lachenal as an
independent concertina manufacturer appears in 1859, and I think we can safely assume that his own production commenced sometime around, or shortly after, August 1858 (indeed, many of Lachenal & Co.’s later directory entries confirm that the business was established in 1858). In addition, it looks as though he probably started his own numbering of English concertinas at 6000, perhaps reckoning that he had already made about that many for Wheatstone’s.

The lowest (confirmed) serial numbers that I know of for Louis Lachenal English concertinas are 6119 (CMC 72 from Neil Wayne’s former Concertina Museum Collection), which has its end-label missing, 6372 (author’s collection; see Fig. 3) and 6599 (CMC 37), both labelled Russell (a major customer at the time), and 8488 (author’s collection), which is labelled Louis Lachenal (see Fig. 4).

This last instrument has an early repair inscription inside it: ‘Repaired and Tuned by J. Cooke, Ipswich 6/3/63, 1302’. I have taken the precaution of checking for serial numbers 6372 and 8488 in the

Fig. 5. Louis Lachenal’s double-page advertisement in the Musical Directory, Register and Almanac, 1859.
**CLASS XVI.—Musical Instruments.**

LACENAL, LOUIS, & Little James Street, Bedford Row.—English patent concertinas.

---

ALL 48 KEYS, DOUBLE ACTION, LACED SCREWS, BRASS NUTS, AND WARRANTED.

*Instruments of a smaller compass made to order only, at the usual prices.*

---

**PRICE LIST, 1862, OF ENGLISH PATENT CONCERTINAS.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The People's Concertina, Mahogany, in nearly covered Box</td>
<td>$4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, Superior tone and finish</td>
<td>$5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, best finish, Five-fold Bellows, best finish</td>
<td>$4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, best finish, Five-fold Morocco Bellows, best finish</td>
<td>$5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, extra best finish, Five-fold Morocco Bellows, Mahogany Bellows, best finish</td>
<td>$6.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebony, Newly Improved, etc. as above, with glass studs</td>
<td>$10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebony, Newly Improved, etc. as above, with glass studs</td>
<td>$10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebony, Newly Improved, etc. as above, with glass studs</td>
<td>$10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ebony, Coposanke Zobna, or any description of wood preferred, with Bellows and all pertaining to exterior finish, tastefully matched, Silver Touched or Glass Studs, as preferred</td>
<td>$12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebony, Coposanke Zobna, or any description of wood preferred, with Bellows and all pertaining to exterior finish, tastefully matched, Silver Touched or Glass Studs, as preferred</td>
<td>$12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebony, Coposanke Zobna, or any description of wood preferred, with Bellows and all pertaining to exterior finish, tastefully matched, Silver Touched or Glass Studs, as preferred</td>
<td>$12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 6. Louis Lachenal’s price list from the 1862 Exhibition Catalogue.**
Wheatstone Red Books. Both are listed: Wheatstone 6372 was supplied to Mrs. Sidney Pratten (guitarist, concertinist, teacher, friend of Giulio Regondi, and wife of the flautist R. Sidney Pratten) on 9th May 1856, though no price was recorded; Wheatstone 8488 was one of a consecutively numbered batch of twelve concertinas (so all of one model) that were sold to Messrs. Harraden on 13th October 1856 for the wholesale price of £67.4.0 (=£5.12.0 each), while Louis Lachenal’s price lists show that the surviving Lachenal 8488 was only a £3.3.0 model. Thus it would appear, at least from these examples, that both Wheatstone and Lachenal instruments were given the same serial numbers, which implies that there were two separate sequences.

Lachenal’s 1859-1862 advertisements in the annual MDRA are double-page price lists (see Fig. 5). They list English-system trebles with 22, 24, 32, 40, and 48 keys, 48-key baritones, and 24-key Duets. However, by the time we reach the price list published in the Catalogue of the (May) 1862 Exhibition (see Fig. 6), trebles with fewer than forty-eight keys have been discontinued and replaced by a new, cheaper 48-key ‘People’s Concertina’ at £2.2.0. (Members of the Lachenal family have told me that Elizabeth Lachenal had Socialist leanings!).

C. Wheatstone & Co. sometimes sold concertinas (usually second-hand) by other makers, and these are marked as such in the Red Books. There are a couple of very useful entries for early Lachenal Englishes: Lachenal 9641, sold on 28th July 1862 to Eales for £2.2.0; and Lachenal 7728, sold on 4th April 1863 to Bagshaw for £3.3.0.

It is not until the MDRA for 1863, by which time Lachenal’s advertisement has been reduced to a half page, that an engraving (the first hint) of an Anglo concertina appears (opposite that of an English), with ‘prices [running] from £1.11.6 to £21’. The following year—in MDRA, 1864—the distinction between systems/prices is made clear, with prices from ‘£1.11.6 to £2.15.0’ shown under the engraving of the Anglo and ‘£2.2.0 to £21’ under that of the 48-key English.

It would seem, therefore, that Lachenal’s probably started to produce Anglos only after the 1862 Exhibition, where ‘German concertinas’ had been exhibited by John Simpson, and Rock Chidley had probably also shown ‘German Fingering Concertinas’, as we know that he was already manufacturing them. It is probably no coincidence, then, that the first sale of an ‘Anglo German’ in the Wheatstone ledgers does not occur until 14th July 1863, and though the sales of
Anglos are usually recorded without serial number (sometimes not even the name of the purchaser), an entry on 16th December 1864 names one as 'Anderson', who bought six Duets for £3.3.0, three Anglos (Nos. 782, 829, and 1470) for £3.12.0, three more Anglos (Nos. 823, 1483, and 1493) for £4.10.0, and two English concertinas for £4.15.0 (it is as though he was stocking up with cheap concertinas for Christmas!).

These Anglo serial numbers do not look to be Wheatstone’s, as they do not fit in with what we know of the firm’s very limited sales of such concertinas at this time. Thus I would speculate that these are most likely Lachenal Anglo serial numbers, though the instruments would appear to have been labelled C. Wheatstone (otherwise we would expect Lachenal’s name to have been entered in the ledger).

The known serial numbers for early Lachenal Anglos suggest that they belonged to a separate numbering sequence from the outset. The lowest-numbered surviving Anglo by them that I am aware of is 865 (CMC 360), with mahogany ends, twenty keys, a simple circle of fretwork (with no central motif, such as later instruments had), and numbered buttons, labelled Louis Lachenal. It is the same model as 7602, labelled H. Journet (see Fig. 7), or a rosewood-ended instrument numbered 2655, labelled Louis Lachenal (both of which are in my own collection).

Louis Lachenal died on 18th December 1861, aged 40, and the entries in the Post Office London Directory show that the business was then carried on by his widow, ‘Lachenal Elizabeth (Mrs.) concertina maker’, until the name of the firm changed to ‘Lachenal & Co.’ in 1874. It seems reasonable to suppose, then, that it was probably in 1873 that Mrs. Lachenal sold the business to ‘five workmen who’d pooled their resources’ (according to Tommy Williams). That the decade is correct is attested by Elizabeth Lachenal’s census returns,
for in 1871 she was listed as a 'Concertina Maker',\(^3\) whereas in 1881 she described herself as a 'Retired - Concertina Manufacturer'.\(^3\)

Lachenal & Co. applied for its trademark, No. 15,222, on 31\(^{st}\) August 1878, and it was published in the *Trade Marks Journal* on 8\(^{th}\) January 1879. The mark consists of a drawing of an individual, double-screwed, English-style free reed. The outline of this device, along with the words 'Trade Mark' and 'English Make', was thereafter stamped into the right-hand rail (handle) of the firm's Anglos in order to differentiate them from the cheap 'imitation Anglos' of German make—with ten reeds riveted onto each plate, wooden actions with glued-on buttons, and cardboard bellows (see Fig. 8)—that were being marketed in large numbers, and being built, at least externally, to resemble instruments made in England and thus deceive the unwary buyer.

Lachenal & Co. introduced the 'New Model', their top-of-the-range, raised-ended concertina in hexagonal form, in the late 1880's. The 66-key 'New Model' baritone, 28320 (CMC 106), gives every appearance of having been made to advertise 'Signor' James Alsepti's newly patented bowing valves,\(^3\) as it has 'Lachenal & Co.'s Patent Bowing Valve No. 8290' very noticeably, and uniquely, emblazoned in gold leaf on the bellows frames. The patent, however, describes them as 'relief valves', and it is not until 1888, with the publication of Keith, Prowse & Co.'s advertisement on the back cover of *The Concertinist's Guide*, that they are referred to as the 'Patent Bowing Valves'. Therefore I think this instrument should probably be dated to c.1888, and I wonder if it was made either for Alsepti himself or for one of his circle, where instruments with fifty-six or more keys seem to have been preferred (see below). In addition, it became Lachenal's normal practice to provide slots (beside the thumb straps) for the so-called bowing valves in the fretwork of all but the very cheapest English concertinas made after the patent (even if the valves themselves were not fitted), so that instruments so-provided
cannot be any earlier than the patent (1885/6). (By way of illustration—and advertisement—Lachenal’s supplied Alsepti’s *The Modern Concertina Method* [Lachenal, c. 1895] with a detailed looseleaf diagram of the keyboards of a 56-key concertina fitted with bowing valves; even the serial number of the instrument, 37281, is given!)

Lachenal’s also introduced a new, raised-ended, twelve-sided ‘artistic’ concertina, which they named the ‘Edeophone’, the Registered Design for which (RD 129662) was entered on 27th July 1889. The lowest serial number that I know of for such an instrument is 28821, a tenor-treble now owned by Chris Algar (see Fig. 9). This instrument has a lot of unusual features, which suggest that it is a very early developmental model, and that it should be dated to no later than 1889. The next known Edeophone number is 35874, a regular production model, evidently made several years later.

Edeophone number 38694 (CMC 262) is a 63-key instrument with bowing valves and aluminium reed frames. It sounds remarkably like the unusual instrument described by J. A. Black in January 1895: ‘...I have just come into possession of an edophone (treble) by those truly progressive makers, Messrs. Lachenal...This fine instrument (played by Mr. Alsepti [Black’s teacher] at Islington on December 4th last) thought of sixty-three keyed and four and a half octave compass, weighs only two and three quarter pounds, or exactly the weight of a forty-eight keyed concertina’. So perhaps No. 38694 should be dated to circa 1894.

That Lachenal’s supplied a large number of wholesalers and dealers with concertinas bearing their own names over the years could be used to date some serial numbers, though this would take a comprehensive study of directories in order to establish the years in which those dealers were at one or another address. However, we
should always bear in mind just how erratic the numbering for Louis Lachenal’s production appears to be in the Wheatstone Red Books and question if the Lachenal firm’s own numbering might have been no less so.

The date they closed down is problematic. Tommy Williams told Neil Wayne: \textsuperscript{43} ‘we finally closed in 1936—it was the Depression, very often they’d have no money to pay out for the workmen. They’d go and say, “Where’s the money?”’, and the boss got so fed up, he decided to close the works down’. And whilst an article in a 1950 Accordion Review stated: \textsuperscript{44} ‘For some years Lachenal made Concertinas for Wheatstone’s but afterwards started a business of his own which became the famous firm of Lachenal & Co. of London which was incorporated with Wheatstone’s in 1934’, \textsuperscript{45} I have still not managed to find a definitive date for the closure, and there seems to be evidence (below) to suggest that it actually occurred even earlier, probably in 1933.

Williams went on to say (in the same interview): \textsuperscript{46} ‘Well, the machinery, and all that, was put up for sale, along came Wheatstone’s and bought the bloomin’ lot up, and scrapped most of it.\textsuperscript{47} Nobody else could get it. . . It all went for as little as a hundred quid, including the gas oil that drove the machinery. They took barrow loads of unfinished work; they’d really come into it alright!’ And I have now discovered that those pieces of ‘unfinished work’ start to appear in the Wheatstone ledgers as early as the autumn of 1933 with the following two consecutive entries:

\begin{verbatim}
Sept 22 [Model] 51 Rosewood 26 keys Lach\textsuperscript{48} 33053  
Oct 10 Accordeaphone\textsuperscript{49} 1\textsuperscript{st} 40 keys 33054
\end{verbatim}

There is also, in connection with a pair of concertinas completed around that same time, a reference to ‘1\textsuperscript{st} Erin’ (their first use of the plastic Erinoid) a material that Lachenal’s had been using to make buttons since the late 1920s. Wheatstone’s had not used it previously, but continued to use it thereafter:

\begin{verbatim}
Sept 11 1\textsuperscript{st} Erin 48 keys Black & White 32947  
                       32948
\end{verbatim}

Finally, speaking about the Lachenal premises ‘at Little James Street, just along the Gray’s Inn Road’, Williams told Neil Wayne: ‘They’ve pulled it all down now’, \textsuperscript{50} a statement very much confirmed by the
1930's Art Deco building at 4 & 6, Northington Street, that now occupies the site (see Fig 10).\(^{51}\)

Fig. 10a. The old Lachenal & Co. premises at 4-6-8, Northington Street, formerly Little James Street; the site at numbers 4 and 6 was evidently redeveloped in the 1930s.

Fig. 10b. Another photo of Northington Street

Fig. 10c. Number 8.
In conclusion, the tabular compilation that follows provides both a ‘quick guide’ to dating certain features of Lachenal’s concertinas and some notes about individual instruments:

I. A Quick Guide to Dateable Features of Lachenal Concertinas

Labelled C.Wheatstone = 1848–1866
Labelled Louis Lachenal = 1858–1873
Labelled Lachenal & Co. = 1873–1933

1. English System

Fewer than 48 keys (trebles) = 1858–1862
With slots for bowing valves = 1885/6–1933
Edeophone = 1889–1933

2. Anglo System

Without trade mark = 1862–1879
With trade mark = 1879–1933

II. Notes on Specific Instruments

1. English

(a) As Louis Lachenal (1858-1873)

6119 label missing, earliest known (CMC 72)
6372 labelled J. Russell, 80, Goswell St., Clerkenwell, London (author’s collection)
6599 labelled Russell Manufacturer, Presented by the Proprietors of the Companion for Youth, 80, Goswell St., London (CMC 37)
7728 sold by Wheatstone’s, 4th April 1863
8488 labelled Louis Lachenal, repair date 6th March 1863 (author’s collection)
9641 sold by Wheatstone’s, 28th July 1862
15435 labelled Joseph Scates, Dublin (up to 1866?) (seen on eBay)

(b) As Lachenal & Co. (1873-1933)

28320 New Model, probably made about 1888 (CMC 106)
28821 pre-production Edophone, 1889 (Chris Algar)
37281 before 1895 (in Alsept’s Method)
38694 lightweight Edophone, like J.A.Black’s, perhaps 1894 (CMC 262)

2. ANGLO

(a) As Louis Lachenal (1862-1873)

782 sold by Wheatstone’s, 16th December 1864 (?)
823 ditto ditto
829 ditto ditto
865 labelled Louis Lachenal (CMC 360)
1470 sold by Wheatstone’s, 16th December 1864 (?)
1483 ditto ditto
1493 ditto ditto
2655 labelled Louis Lachenal (author’s collection)
3207 labelled Thomas Prowse (a dealer who died in 1867), 13, Hanway St., London (sold on eBay)
5681 labelled G. King, 31, North St., Manchester Sqre. (author’s collection)
7602 labelled H. Journet, 43, Tottenham Court Rd., London, 1870-c.1900 (author’s collection)
9637 labelled Jones & Son, 6, Cross St., Hatton Garden, London (author’s collection)

(b) As Lachenal & Co. (1873-1933)

196865 sold for £5.0.0, 9th January 1926 (receipt, author’s archive)

NOTES

1. This article originated as a set of guidelines that I sent to Chris Algar for the Lachenal serial number dating project. However, I felt that it was potentially of much broader interest, as it contains some important new discoveries about Louis Lachenal, Lachenal & Co., and C. Wheatstone & Co. I have, therefore, reworked it for publication, and it can stand, in the interim, in lieu of the projected Part 2 of ‘Louis Lachenal: “Engineer and Concertina Manufacturer”, Part 1’, The Free-Reed Journal, 1 (1999), 7-18 (hereafter cited as ‘Louis Lachenal’); the article is available online at <http://www.maccann-duet.com/chambers/lachenal-part1.htm>.

2. The same design would carry on as the ‘standard Lachenal model’ until the closure of Lachenal & Co. some eighty-five years later, and formed the basis for much of that company’s range of English concertinas.
3. C. Wheatstone & Co. issued a price list that year, advertising that '... a very considerable reduction in price of the various descriptions of the Concertina has been recently effected, as the subjoined list will show'. A transcription of this price list appears in Chambers, 'Louis Lachenal', 16-18; a copy of the original is reproduced online at <http://www.maccann-duet.com/docs/Wheatstone-Pricelists-1848-C824.pdf>. For information on both the start of Lachenal's work for Wheatstone's and evidence that he was employing staff on behalf of that firm in 1848, see 'Louis Lachenal', 15.

4. The surviving nineteenth-century ledgers of C. Wheatstone & Co., including most of the sales records from the 1830s to the 1860s, production records from the 1860s to the 1890s, and two wage books from the 1840s (twelve volumes in all), were preserved from destruction for us by the late Harry Minting, who was Wheatstone's Sales Manager at the time the company moved to the Boosey & Hawkes factory at Edgware, in 1961. All but one of these records are written in red, leather-bound notebooks, and Harry always described the set as a whole as 'The Red Books', seemingly the name by which they were known at Wheatstone's. Housed for a number of years at the former Concertina Museum, Belper, Derbyshire, they are now part of the Wayne Archive at the Horniman Museum, London, where they are in the process of being digitised by Robert Gaskins; when that work is completed, they will be made available for research both on the web and on a CD-ROM (which will be available from the Museum). The surviving twentieth-century ledgers, from 1910 on (part of the Dickinson Archive), are already available in both formats; see <http://www.horniman.info/>.

The Red Books, with the numbers given to them by Neil Wayne (and retained by the Horniman Museum), consist of the following:

SALES LEDGERS (chronological according to date of sale, and listing names of purchasers): C1046—30th April 1839 to 5th April 1848; C1047—1st January 1851 to 23rd October 1852; C1048—23rd October 1852 to 21st March 1854; C1049—21st March 1854 to 4th April 1856; C1050—5th April 1856 to 4th November 1857; C1051—4th November 1857 to 21st October 1859; C1052—21st October 1859 to 30th April 1864; C1053—30th April 1864 to 23rd May 1870.

PRODUCTION LEDGER (written in a copy of 'Harwood's Diary 1864', serially numbered by date of manufacture, with no purchaser information): C1054—March 1866, serial number 18061, to 22nd December 1891, serial number 21353.

SERIAL NUMBER REGISTER (in serial number order, listing names of purchasers; this volume, which seems not to have received a number from Neil Wayne, has been catalogued as C104a by the Horniman Museum): C104a—the lowest recorded Wheatstone serial number is 59, the highest, 1500, with dates ranging from 1835 to 1849; there are, however, many missing entries, especially in the earliest period.

WAGES BOOKS (cash books showing expenditures, both in wages and payments to suppliers): C1055—25th January 1845 to 1st August 1846; C1056—1st January 1848 to 30th June 1849.


5. C1046.


7. British School Lane was another, perhaps the original, name for British Grove, the name under which it is listed in the 1851 Census, and which it still bears today. It was named after the non-denominational school founded there by the British and Foreign School Society in 1832. The east side of British Grove formed the boundary between Chiswick, in the old County of Middlesex, and Hammersmith, in the County of London. It was in British Grove that Frederick Walton invented linoleum in 1863.

8. I revisited the area earlier this year, and was surprised to find that the buildings formerly at the location, occupied by Kingscourt Publishing Limited, 20, British Grove, have been demolished and that a large new commercial building is nearing completion.

9. The Rate Books were compiled twice yearly, in April and October/November. The first relevant entry appears in the Rate Book for 19th April 1853, when the occupier is listed as ‘Messrs. Wheatstone & Co.’, and the owner as ‘Themselves’; the next Book, 22nd October 1853, gives ‘Louis Lachenal’ as occupier, ‘Messrs. Wheatstone & Co.’, as owner. This suggests that Louis Lachenal was not an employee, as such, of Wheatstone’s, but rather that he was running a workshop for them on a contract basis; otherwise they would have still had their own name listed as occupier of the workshop. Lachenal’s independence would also seem to be confirmed by the entry for ‘Lachenal Louis, machinist, British grove’ (under the heading Traders, in Chiswick) in the Post Office Directory of Essex, Herts, Kent, Middlesex, Surrey and Sussex (London: Kelly, 1855); online at the website of the Digital Library of Historcal Directories, <http://www.historicadirectories.org>.

By 1855 there was evidently a need for more living space, as the Rate Book for 18th October shows that Wheatstone’s had also acquired the house beyond
the 'Manufactory' as another 'House', though by 22nd April 1858, it had become a second 'Manufactory'.

In the Rate Book for 12th November 1858, the name 'Louis Lachenal' is crossed out, and 'Captain Bauman' substituted as occupier at Alpha Cottage, though the status of the other two premises seems unclear; as of 25th April 1859, however, both Alpha and Omega Cottages are shown as unoccupied, though still owned by 'Wheatstone & Co.', with the other house being occupied by one A.G. Whichels, but with no owner listed.

10. About Wheatstone's Hammersmith residence, Ms. Anne Wheeldon, Archivist with Hammersmith & Fulham Archives and Local History Centre, has informed me of the following in a communication of 10 February 2004: 'The first reference to Charles Wheatstone was in the Hammersmith rate book of May 1847, when his name was entered in pencil against a property consisting of a house, garden and buildings in Lower Mall...[He] was rated for the property until some time after October 1861 as his name was crossed through in that rate book...The house was at the eastern end of Lower Mall near Hammersmith Bridge [in which he owned shares]...By 1871...the premises was known as Digby House in the census...In 1885 Digby House was numbered 5 Lower Mall...[a new suspension bridge was built in 1887 and the intervening houses demolished, hence] In the 1891 census...Digby House...was described...as being at the corner of the new road by the side of the suspension bridge...It was demolished around 1894 and part of a large block of flats, named Digby Mansions, was built on the site in the late 1890s'. A photograph reveals that Digby House was a five-bay, three-storey (over basement) Georgian mansion, whilst an 1865 Ordnance Survey map shows that it had an extensive garden behind it, with a rear carriageway and outbuildings (my thanks to Ms. Wheeldon for sending these, and diligently answering other questions from me). I have walked from British Grove to Lower Mall in a little over fifteen minutes.

11. The area was then still largely rural, with many market gardens supplying the London markets. It would have been much more convenient both for Wheatstone's Conduit Street shop and most of the manufacturing staff, as well as for out-sourcing of materials, had the factory either remained in Central London (it had previously been at George Yard, Princes Street, Soho; see 'Louis Lachenal', 14) or moved eastwards, towards the craft district of Clerkenwell, as Louis Lachenal later did. Indeed, Lachenal suggested as much in his first advertisement, which appeared in the 1859 edition of the annual Musical Directory, Register and Almanac (London: Rudall, Rose, Carte, 1859; hereafter MDRA): 'L. LACHENAL ... having removed from Chiswick to the above more convenient and central premises [8, Little James St., Bedford Row, London], has now every facility for carrying on the Wholesale Business...'. Little James Street is now called Northington Street, and runs between Gray's Inn Road and Great James Street, near the junction of Theobalds Road and Clerkenwell Road.

12. As evidence for this, we have the testimony of the former Lachenal employee Tommy Williams, who was interviewed by Neil Wayne in 1968. Transcripts from this interview were published in three instalments—and under three different titles—in The Concertina Newsletter: 'Tommy Williams', 3 (January 1972), 5-6; 'The Tommy Williams Interview—Part 2', 5 (May 1972), 6-7; and 'The Tommy Williams Story—Part 3', 7 (August 1972), 10-12; they may be viewed online at: <http://www.maccann-duet.com/docs/Wayne-Tommy-
Williams-Interview-parts-1-2-3.pdf >. An edited version appears in the sleeve notes to his LP recording, *Tommy Williams—Springtime in Battersea*. Free Reed Records, FRR 008 (1976). Williams stated (‘The Tommy Williams Interview’, 7): ‘Sir Charles Wheatstone, he commissioned Louis Lachenal to make them [concertinas] for him, under the name of Wheatstone’. But ‘concertina folklore’ (or anecdotal evidence) has long held that Lachenal ‘...left the house of Wheatstone, taking with him...it is alleged, a complete set of concertina-making tools!’ (Neil Wayne, ‘An Outline History of the Concertina and Related Instruments’, *The Concertina Newsletter*, 4 [no date], 11), or ‘The story goes that Louis Lachenal, who had been employed by the Wheatstone company, left to set up his own business (possibly taking some of Wheatstone’s tools, and even some employees)...’. (David Aumann, ‘Lachenal Concertina Production’, in the concertina.net—Buyer’s Guide, online at < http://www.concertina.net/guide_lachenal.html >.

However, Lachenal’s Last Will and Testament, made on 8th May 1856, while he was still living at Alpha Cottage, British School Lane, left ‘all my stock in trade, plant, machinery, working tools and implements...carts and carriages...to my dear wife Françoise Marie Elizabeth Lachenal’, thus suggesting that the machinery, tooling, and even the means of transport were all owned by him, and confirming that he was no mere employee of Wheatstone’s, but rather worked for them as an independent contractor, with his own equipment and staff. For information on what is known about Louis Lachenal as a watchmaker and engineer, see Chambers, ‘Louis Lachenal’, 10-16.


14. Henry Joseph (‘Harry’) Crabb (1911-1981) told Richard Carlin that ‘...Wheatstone...asked my grandfather [John Crabb] to make the woodwork...and Lachenal was a French [sic, read Swiss] engineer...they all got together...and they started making concertinas. Well my grandfather was, until the patent ran out, with Wheatstone, and Lachenal had made all the tools for the plant, and he started on his own...my grandfather went with Lachenal...and then he started with Nickolds as a partnership.’ And that ‘My grandfather’s brother [Charles Crabb] worked for Lachenal, all his life...’. See Carlin, ‘An Interview with Harry Crabb’, in *English Concertina* (New York: Oak Publications, 1977), 54-56.

John Crabb (c.1826—1903) first appears at the address in question, 12, British School Lane, Chiswick, in the Rate Book for 17th April 1856. Interestingly, his name seems to have been added as a late entry, in different ink, the house having earlier been marked as unoccupied. He was still living there on 22nd April 1858, but an Indenture (Numbered 960, preserved at the Greater London Record Office, Ref. MDR 1858 BK9 PTS1-2) between ‘John Crabb, 3, Spring Street, Clerkenwell...Cabinet Maker, on the one part, and James Richard Eden...Plumber’, on the other, shows that he sold the lease of the house on 2nd August 1858. He seems to have first moved to the area between the christening of his second daughter, Emma Louisa Crabb, at St. Andrew’s, Holborn, on 18th April 1853, and the birth of his first son, John Charles Crabb, at 9, Park Cottages, Hammersmith (now Ravenscourt Gardens, a short walk from British Grove), on 20th October that same year. His fourth child, Henry Thomas Crabb (d.1930,
father of ‘Harry’ Crabb, grandfather of Neville and Geoffrey Crabb), was born at
12, British Grove, Chiswick, on 17th July 1856. Moreover, there is evidence to
confirm that John Crabb’s brother, Charles (1835-1885), was also working for
Louis Lachenal in Chiswick, for he married Elizabeth Matilda Nichols, from
Turnham Green, Chiswick, at the Episcopal District Chapel of St. Peter, in
Hammersmith (now St. Peter’s Church, Black Lion Lane, only two streets from
British Grove), on Christmas Day 1855. Both gave their ‘Residence at the time of
Marriage’ as ‘Hammersmith’, and since the marriage was ‘after banns’, they were
evidently both living in the district at the time. Also, he appears to have become
a concertina maker in 1853, as his firm’s advertisement in ‘Professor’ John Hill
Maccann’s The Concertinist’s Guide (1888) claims ‘for 35 years concertina maker’
online at: < http://www.maccann-duet.com/docs/Maccann-Concertinists-
Guide.pdf >).

15. C1051.

16. Lachenal’s advertisement in the 1859 edition of MDRA takes the form of a
double-page ‘List of Prices’ for English concertinas, with twelve models of treble
starting at £1.13.0 (for a 22-key in mahogany) and rising to £8.8.0 (for a 48-key
in rosewood, with silver buttons), two models of baritone, at £9.9.0 or £11.11.0,
and Duet Concertinas for £1.2.0 (mahogany) and £1.6.0 (rosewood). The
advertisement states: ‘L. LACHENAL, several years Maker of the Concertina as
Patented, having removed from Chiswick to the above more convenient and
central premises [8, Little James St., Bedford Row, London], has now every
facility for carrying on the Wholesale Business, and can offer Instruments of the
very best manufacture, and warranted superior in quality to any hitherto
produced, at the greatly reduced prices annexed. . .In future, all Concertinas
from this Manufactory will be stamped LOUIS LACHENAL’. Finding this
advertisement was the first clue that there had been a factory in existence at
Chiswick. And though it avoids actually stating that he had been manufacturing
for Wheatstone’s there, it strongly hints at just that by using the phrase ‘Maker
of the Concertina as Patented’ (by Charles Wheatstone).

Messrs. Wheatstone & Co.’s advertisement in the same directory also
occupies two pages, but it is principally for harmoniums, an instrument that was
becoming both more fashionable and more important for them by the late 1850s
(continuing into the 1860s). Their list of concertinas takes up only one third of
one page and states (as it had also in 1858): ‘Messrs. WHEATSTONE and Co.,
having completed their machinery for manufacturing CONCERTINAS of the
following scales of notes, are now enabled to offer them to the Public at the low
prices annexed’. They listed only six, inexpensive models starting with the same
22-key (as Louis Lachenal) in mahogany, but for a price of three shillings more
at £1.16.0, and rising to a 32-key in rosewood at £3.3.0 (thirteen shillings more),
though they also mentioned ‘Concertinas, with full compass (48 Keys) from 4 to
12 Guineas’.

17. C1053. This is evidenced both by the different construction of those
instruments and by the addition of the phrase ‘Twenty Years Maker of
Wheatstone & Co.’s Patent Concertinas’ to Lachenal’s advertising in MDRA, 1867
(et seq.) and elsewhere.

18. Related to the Wheatstone family by marriage, Edward Chidley (1830-
1899) and his older brother Rock (1825-1894) had worked for Wheatstone’s in
the 1840s. They appear in the 1845-1846 Wage Book (C1055) as 'Chidley and brother', and both became concertina makers in their own right. According to Kenneth Vernon Chidley (1892-1964), a later director of Wheatstone's: 'My grandfather, Edward Chidley, purchased the firm from C. Wheatstone in 1860, and when he died in 1899 was succeeded by his son, also Edward Chidley, who died in 1941. I entered the business in 1906 and have managed production since 1924—and am still in harness!' (quoted in Accordion Review, 4/6 [June 1950], 21). However, Charles Wheatstone's younger brother, William Dolman Wheatstone (chr. 9th March 1804) appears to have run the business until his death, at 20, Conduit Street, on 30th August 1862. (The firm had borne his name alone in directory entries since 1848, although the concertinas continued to be labelled C. Wheatstone & Co. throughout the period. His Death Certificate gives his occupation as 'Concertina & Harmonium Manufacturer and Inventor'.) Neil Wayne has suggested that Charles Wheatstone returned '. . .to a more active involvement in the concertina firm after the death of his brother. . .[he] appears to have returned to concertina-making and to research on "new" free-reed instruments in later life. . .' ('The Wheatstone English Concertina', 121). Finally, Edward Chidley is listed as a concertina maker in his own right, at 28, Store Street, Bedford Square, London, in the period 1861-1870 (Neil Wayne, Concertina Book—Final Edit [unpublished typescript, 1986], 65, where the street name is given incorrectly as 'Stone St.'). Certainly, Chidley was living at 29, Conduit Street, close to the Wheatstone shop, by April 1871, as he was enumerated there for the Census. In all, I would suggest that 1870 is perhaps a more likely date for his acquisition of C. Wheatstone & Co. (though more work needs to be done on the subject).

19. See (among others) the notice in Musical Opinion & Music Trade Review Directory of the Music Trade of the United Kingdom 1903, 227.

20. While Louis Lachenal was manufacturing his 'mass-produced' models of treble concertina exclusively for Wheatstone's—between about April 1848 (the 1490s series) and the end of July 1858 (the 10660s series)—there appear to have been some 9,170 serial numbers, but I do not believe that all of these will be found to have been allocated to instruments during that time. Moreover, other models of treble, as well as all the tenors, baritones, and basses, were still being made by hand (though using reeds supplied by Lachenal, except for the basses, which used French harmonium reeds). In addition, though there are potentially more than 9,000 Red Book entries during this period (allowing for a missing volume, between April 1848 and January 1851), not all of them would have been for sales of new concertinas, as numerous entries in the surviving ledgers are for instruments that were lent, hired, or sold second hand, sometimes several times.


22. 'Cooke, J. Major's Corner, Ipswich', is entered, as a Music Seller in MDRA, 1864.
23. C1050.

24. The International Exhibition of 1862, Illustrated Catalogue of the Industrial Department, British Division, Vol. II. (Class XVI.—Musical Instruments), 112.

25. Bill La Chenal and his mother, Dorothy ('Dee'), whom I visited on 15th March 2000. Bill is a great-grandson of Louis Lachenal; his grandfather was Louis’ youngest son, Alexander (born 27th October 1861, a Crown Agent and civil engineer), about whom I did not know when I wrote 'Louis Lachenal', and his father was Alexander’s youngest son, Ronald. The form of the family name was changed to 'La Chenal' in England at the time of the First World War, in order to appear more French (and more importantly at that time, less German!). Another branch of Alexander’s family, in the United States, uses the original form, 'Lachenal'.


27. Significantly, Anglos are missing from their 1862 Exhibition Price List.


29. The entry for Rock Chidley in the Exhibition Catalogue, 96, simply states: ‘Harmoniums and concertinas’, but that he was already manufacturing Anglos is attested by his having published a tutor, Chidley’s Instructions for the German Fingering Concertina [1858], which promoted the instruments: 'These instruments being made by English workmen under the superintendence of R.C. ...will be found very superior in tone to those generally sold...'.

30. C1052.

31. C1053.


34. 'The Tommy Williams Interview', 7.

35. RG 10/384, folio 18, p. 29.

36. RG 11/210, folio 13, p. 22.

37. British Patent No. 8290: 'Improvements in Concertinas', applied for 8th July 1885, granted 8th April 1886 to James Alsepti and Richard Ballinger; the patent is available online: <http://www.maccann-duet.com/docs/Alsepti-Ballinger-No-8290-of-1885.pdf>. On Alsepti and the view that the bowing valves are somewhat tantamount to charlatanry, see Atlas, Contemplating the Concertina, 27-31; for new information about the biography of Alsepti, see Atlas, 'Signor Alsepti and “Regondi’s Golden Exercise”, Concertina World, supplement to No. 426 (2003), 1-8, which shows that his real name was almost certainly 'Alsept' (online at: < http://www.maccann-duet.com/atlas/index.htm >).
38. With its radial internal design, the ideal shape for an English-style concertina is circular, but for practical purposes it tends towards that of a 'squared circle'; while the traditional hexagon is the easiest to make, the octagon is better, and the twelve-sided is nearest to the ideal, allowing longer-scale reeds to be used and a larger volume of air to be contained in the bellows.

39. These include the design of the fretwork, long thumb straps with top screws (like a regular concertina) instead of the usual short straps with clips, and an ordinary paper maker's label, not an engraved nickel-silver one; hence it is not badged as an Edeophone (was the name even in use when it was made?).


41. Wayne also gives the number as 28694 in the same catalogue entry, but he has told Chris Algar that he believes this is an error (communication from Chris Algar, 28th April 2004).

42. In Musical Opinion & Music Trade Review (1 January 1895), Correspondence, 222.

43. 'The Tommy Williams Story', 11

44. 'Introducing our New Feature Devoted to the Concertina,' Accordion Review, 4/4 (April 1950), 22. The article was 'compiled with information kindly supplied to us by K.V. Chidley, Esq., of Messrs. C. Wheatstone & Co., Ltd...'.

45. For a few years, in the late 1930s and early 1940s, Wheatstone's used a rubber stamp with the wording '(incorporating LACHENAL & CO.)', which appeared immediately after 'C. Wheatstone & Co.' on their letterhead and elsewhere. An example of this is the receipt for a 36-key Anglo, 51406, sold on 26th Sept. 1941, and an associated letter dated 20th August 1941 (sold on eBay).

46. 'The Tommy Williams Story', 12.

47. The evidence from Wheatstone's seems to indicate the contrary. Steve Dickinson, the present owner of C. Wheatstone & Co., believes that much of the tooling now in his possession originated with Lachenal's, having been refettled, and modified by Wheatstone's in the mid-1930s. Further, John Wicks, who worked for the flute makers Rudall, Carte & Co. and shared premises with Wheatstone's during the 1950s, told me that he remembered Wheatstone's having a different drill press for every size of hole (a mass-production technique, saving a lot of set-up time), until Geoffrey Hawkes, Director of Boosey & Hawkes, came along and scrapped them, in the name of economy!

From 1934 on (33000 series), Wheatstone's instruments began to change, becoming progressively cheaper in their construction and materials, as the firm seemingly embraced the mass-production ethos and technology of their former rivals. An example of that technology is the pattern-following router, for cutting the tapered, dovetailed slots for the reed frames in the pan board, which is still in use by Steve Dickinson. One can see it used at Wheatstone's factory in Duncan Terrace, Islington, in the British Pathe newsreel 'Concertina Factory' (a.k.a. Concert in a Factory), filmed on 3rd April 1961: online at <
http://www.britishpathe.com > (search the database for 'concertina factory'). Not surprisingly, under the circumstances, the router can cut slots in six, eight, or twelve-sided pans. It was as a result of having Lachenal's tooling that Wheatstone's started to build some Edeophones, starting with a batch of three Anglos numbered 33301-33303, in July 1934. On Wheatstone's Edeophones, see Nell Wayne, Margaret Birley, and Robert Gaskins, 'A Wheatstone Twelve-Sided "Edeophone" Concertina with Pre-MacCann Chromatic Duet Fingering', *The Free-Reed Journal*, 3 (2001), 3-17 (a revised and expanded version is online at <http://www.maccann-duet.com/Free-Reed-Journal-Article/Wheatstone-Edeophone-Concertina-with-Pre-MacCann-Duet-Fingering.htm>); see also, Wayne, 'Wheatstone 12-Sided Duete [sic]', online at <http://www.concertina.info/tina.faq/images/wayne.htm>.

48. This is the earliest reference in the ledgers to a concertina being a 'Lach', that is, one of the unfinished Lachenal stock of instruments eventually completed by Wheatstone's. The Wheatstone model number 51 denoted a 20-key Anglo with mahogany ends; a 26-key rosewood-ended Wheatstone should have been described as a model 55B.

49. The Accordeaphone is a large, square, triple-reeded English concertina covered in blue pearloid, with translucent red plastic buttons. It was developed by Lachenal's in an attempt to compete with the piano accordion, which became extremely popular in the 1930s, with many concertina players finding it advantageous to take up the newer, more fashionable, instrument. Very few Accordeaphones were made, but a rare example, made for a player named Sid Iwe and now owned by Chris Timson and Anne Gregson, can be seen online: <http://www.concertina.info/tina.faq/images/accphone.htm>.

50. 'The Tommy Williams Interview', 7.

51. Lachenal's address changed from 8, to 4, Little James Street in the *Post Office London Directory* 1867, though a later (undated) price list indicates that they occupied the premises at both 4 & 6, Little James Street.